

2154
JW

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Adrian P. Wise, et al.

Serial No.: 09/771,062

Filed: January 29, 2001

For: Multistandard Video Decoder and
Decompression System for Processing
Encoded Bit Streams Including Storing Data
and Methods Relating Thereto

Examiner: NGUYEN, Dustin

Art Unit: 2154


Confirmation No.: 8453

Attorney Docket No.:

94100414(EP)USC1X1C1D3 PDDD

COMMUNICATION
SUBMISSION OF DECLARATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Dear Sir:

Please enter the enclosed Declarations with the original signatures of Inventors Adrian Wise, Martin Sotheran, William Robbins, Anthony Mark Jones, Anthony "Peter" John Claydon, Kevin Boyd and Helen Finch in the above-referenced patent's file history.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 04-1175.

Respectfully submitted,
DISCOVISION ASSOCIATES

Micah P. Goldsmith, Esq.
Registration No. 43,638

Date: September 7, 2004

DISCOVISION ASSOCIATES
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT
P.O. Box 19616
Irvine, California 92623
(949) 660-5000

September 7, 2004



Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

VIA FACSIMILE

CUSTOMER NUMBER

22887

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE



RE: Inventor: Adrian P. Wise, et al.
Confirmation No.: 8453
TITLE: MULTISTANDARD VIDEO DECODER AND DECOMPRESSION
SYSTEM FOR PROCESSING ENCODED BIT STREAMS INCLUDING
STORING DATA AND METHODS RELATING THERETO
USSN: 09/771,062
Filed: January 29, 2001
Atty. Docket No.: 94100414(EP)USC1X1C1D3 PDDD

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced application are the following documents:

1. Communication – Submission of Declaration;
2. Declaration for Utility Patent Application (37 CFR 1.63) executed by Inventor, Adrian P. Wise;
3. Declaration for Utility Patent Application (37 CFR 1.63) executed by Inventor, Martin W. Sotheran;
4. Declaration for Utility Patent Application (37 CFR 1.63) executed by Inventor, William P. Robbins;
5. Declaration for Utility Patent Application (37 CFR 1.63) executed by Inventor, Anthony Mark Jones;
6. Declaration for Utility Patent Application (37 CFR 1.63) executed by Inventor, Anthony "Peter" John Claydon;
7. Declaration for Utility Patent Application (37 CFR 1.63) executed by Inventor, Kevin Boyd;
8. Declaration for Utility Patent Application (37 CFR 1.63) executed by Inventor, Helen R. Finch;
9. Copy of Office Communication dated April 1, 2004;
10. Cover Letter, and
11. Certificate of First Class Mail, Control No. 714858 dated September 7, 2004.

Very truly yours,

DISCOVISION ASSOCIATES


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Micah P. Goldsmith'.

Micah P. Goldsmith, Reg. No., 43,638
Patent Prosecution Attorney
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT

MG:cs

Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on: 9/7/04	Calleen A. Smothers (Type or print name)
 (Signature)	



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,062	01/29/2001	Adrian P. Wise	94100414(EP)USC1X1C1D3	8453

22887 7590 04/01/2004

DISCOVISION ASSOCIATES
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT
2355 MAIN STREET, SUITE 200
IRVINE, CA 92614

PD DD

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, DUSTIN

ART UNIT


PAPER NUMBER

2154

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

DUPLICATE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



DOCKETING
RECEIVED DATE: 4/7/04
INITIALS: RS/DN
DUE DATE: 7/1/04
FINAL DEADLINE: 10/1/04

PDD
Rev. 04/03

Incoming: 4/7/04 RS/DN
Date Initials
Outgoing: _____
Date Initials

☒ Calleen ☒ Steve W. ☐
☐ Kenny ☒ File ☐
☒ Richard S. ☒ Docketing
Comments: O.A.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/771,062

Applicant(s)

WISE ET AL.

Examiner

Dustin Nguyen

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status**DUPLICATE**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |



DETAILED ACTION

DUPLICATE

1. Claims 1 – 7 are presented for examination.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It is claiming the domestic priority of application 08/382952 filed on 02/01/1995, which is not the same application as mentioned in the disclosure [Amendment filed on 09/24/2003 which claims priority of application 08/382958].

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 03/08/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
4. As per remarks, Applicants' argued that (1) Horvath does not disclose receiving "data words".
5. As to point (1), the recited claim 1 discloses receiving sequence of data words of a predetermined width. Horvath discloses divide the image data into 8x8 blocks of image data and